REGISTERED No. T. 10. REGISTERED No. M. 4681.

GAZETTE EXTRAORDINARY

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

VOL. 1] SATURDAY, 17th JUNE 1950/3rd MITHUNAM 1125. [No 41

No. L4-1850/50/DD. NOTIFICATION.

The award of the Industrial Tribunal, Ernakulam, in respect of the dispute between the management of the South Indian Engineering Works Ltd., Palluruthy and their workmen (represented by the South Indian Engineering Workers' Union, Palluruthy) is hereby published. as required under Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (XIV of 1947).

Government Secretariat,
Development Department,
Labour Section,
Trivandrum, 17th June 1950.

(By order)

V. K. VELAYUDHAN,
Secretary to Government.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,

Ernakulam.

PRESENT.

Sri C. Sankara Menon, B. A., B. L.

Sole Member.

Monday, the 15th day of May, 1950.

In re: the industrial dispute between the employers and employees of the South Indian Engineering Works Ltd., Palluruthy,

REFERENCE No. 3/1950

The South Indian Engineering Workers' Union, Palluruthy.. Representing the employees.

By the President and Secretary of the Union.

The South Indian Engineering Works Ltd., Palluruthy. Employers.

By Sri A. V. Ramanatha Iyer, B. A., B. L., High Court Advocate.

AWARD.

This is a reference made to this Tribunal by the Government of Travancore-Cochin under Section 10 (1) (c) of the Travancore-Cochin Industrial Dispute Act, Act XVI of 1950, for adjudication upon the following points of dispute.

1. Whether the retrenchment of the 23 workers covered by the notice of the Company dated 6th March 1950 is justifiable? If not, to what relief are the employees entitled?

2. Are the workmen entitled to get bonus for the year 1949 and if so what should be the bonus?

3. How many days leave are the workmen entitled to with wages as casual leave and leave for festival holidays over and above the period of leave with wages as provided in the Factories Act?

4. Is the company giving works to outsiders on contract? If so, whether this practice should be discontinued?

5. Whether there are apprentices in the company having more than three years service? If so, are they entitled to wages and at what rates?

The reference was made as per Government Proceedings L. 4—1850/50/D. D. dated 28-4-1950 and 11-5-1950. When the matter was taken up for enquiry today the parties put in a joint written statement in terms of annexe I stating that all disputes had been settled out of Court and requesting the Government to withdraw the reference. I accept and record the statement of the parties and drop further proceedings. The Government will be requested to cancel the orders of reference.

C. SANKARA MENON,
Industrial Tribunal.

ANNEXE I.

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, ERNAKULAM.

No. 3 of 1950.

Between The South Indian Engineering Works Limited, Palluruthy. And The workmen of the said Company represented by the South Indian Engineering Workers' Union, Palluruthy.

In the matter of the industrial dispute relating to the retrenchment of the 23 workers covered by notice of the Company dated 6th March 1950 and to four other issues subsequently raised and referred to adjudication.

JOINT STATEMENT PUT IN ON BEHALF OF THE ABOVE NAMED PARTIES.

The management of the abovenamed Company and its workmen represented by the South Indian Engineering Workers' Union, Palluruthy, have settled between themselves the above disputes and hereby request the Government to withdraw the reference for adjudication by the Industrial Tribunal of the said disputes.

Dated this the 15th day of May, 1950.

1. M. K. Raghavan (Sd.)
President of the Union.

2. P. T. Joseph (Sd).
Secretary of the Union.

Representing the South Indian Engineering Workers' Union.

1. V. V. Antony (Sd.)
Director,

For the South Indian Engineering Works Ltd.

2. A. V. Ramanatha Iyer (Sd.)
Advocate for the Company.

Representing the Management of the South Indian Engineering Works Limited.

C. SANKARA MENON,
Industrial Tribunal.

PRINTED AND PUBLISHED BY THE SUPERINTENDENT, GOVERNMENT PRESS, TRIVANDRUM, 1950.